1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney		
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)		
3	Chief, Criminal Division		
4	WENDY THOMAS (NYBN 4315420) Special Assistant United States Attorney		
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue		
6	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6809		
7	Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: wendy.thomas@usdoj.gov		
8 9	Attorneys for United States of America		
9 10	LINITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Criminal No. CR 3-07-70694 BZ	
14	Plaintiff,		
15		[PROPOSED] ORDER AND	
16	v. ROBERTO IMSON LAMMATAO,	STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME FROM DECEMBER 6, 2007 TO DECEMBER 19, 2007	
17	Defendant.	DECEMBER 19, 2007	
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	The parties appeared before the Honorable Maria Elena James on December 6, 2007.		
21	With the agreement of counsel for both parties the Court found and held as follows:		
22	1. The Court vacated the preliminary hearing date of December 6, 2007, setting a new		
23	preliminary hearing date on December 19, 2007, at 9:30 a.m. before the duty magistrate judge,		
24	extending the time for the preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1,		
25	and extending the 30-day time period for indictment and excluding time under the Speedy Tria		
26	Act from December 6, 2007, to December 19, 2007. The parties agree, and the Court finds and		
27	holds, as follows:		

[PROPOSED[ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70694 BZ

- 2. Counsel for defendant is reviewing the evidence to determine whether a pre-charge disposition is appropriate. Only after evaluating the evidence will counsel be in a position to evaluate what disposition is an appropriate one. He also believes it is his best interest to consult with an immigration attorney regarding this case.
- 3. Taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for indictment and excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failing to grant the continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court also finds that the ends of justice outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. <u>Id.</u> § 3161(h)(A).
- 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) vacates the December 6, 2007, preliminary hearing date, extends the time for a preliminary hearing until December 19, 2007, and sets a preliminary hearing before the duty magistrate on December 19, 2007, at 9:30 a.m., and (2) orders that the period from December 6, 2007, to December 19, 2007, be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal

1	Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and	
	(B)(iv).	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4	DATED: 12/26/07 /s/ GILBERT EISENBERG	
5	Counsel for the defendant	
6		
7	DATED: 12/24/07 /s/ WENDY THOMAS	
8	Special Assistant U.S. Attorney	
9	WE IS SO OFFICE	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	DATED: 1/23/08	
12	THE HON M R A LENA JAMES United Stress Me grate Judge	
13	Omled States with thate Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Page 3 of 3

[PROPOSED[ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME CR 3-07-70694 BZ